

(Formerly known as TENTY PRIVATE LIMITED)

Regd. Office: P-69, Kasba Industrial Estate, Phase-II, 2nd Floor, Kolkata – 700107, West Bengal

CIN No. U36991WB1997PLC085833 G.S.T. No. : (W.B.) : 19AABCT2082R1ZQ

PAN: AABCT2082R G.S.T. No.: (Assam): 18AABCT2082R1ZS

CRITERIA FOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS AND THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

INTRODUCTION

The Company conducts its operations under the directions of Board of Directors within the framework laid down by various statutes, more particularly by the Companies Act, 2013, SEBIRegulations, Memorandum and Articles of Association and Code of Conduct and policies formulated by the Company for its internal execution. The present policy for performance evaluation is being put into place in accordance with the provisions of Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI LODR on Board Evaluation. Such an evaluation procedure will provide a fine system of checks and balances on the performance of the directors and will ensure that they exercise their powers in a rational manner.

As required under SEBI LODR and Companies Act, 2013, the evaluation of the Board involves multiple levels:

- 1. Boardasawhole
- 2. CommitteesoftheBoard
- 3. Individual Directors (including Managing Director, Independent Directors, Non-Independent Directors, etc.)

With an aim to maintain an energized, proactive and effective Board, the Board is committed to acontinuing process of recommending and laying down the criteria to evaluate the performance oftheentireBoardofthe Company.

EFFECTIVENESSOFTHEBOARD

The overall effectiveness of the Board shall be measured on the basis of the ratings obtained byeach Director and accordingly the Board shall decide the Appointments, Re-appointments and Removal of the non-performing Directors of the Company. For this reason, based on the forestated criteria of evaluation the

Yamell laye

Kolkata

Works: (Sankrail Unit): Belvedere Mill Complex, Gate No. 3, Shed No. K/1, South Sankrail, Howrah – 711 313, Phone No. 90380-03641 Works: (Guwahti Unit): Vill: Gauripur, North Guwahati, P.O.: College Nagar, P.S. Chnagsari, Guwahati – 781 031 - , Assam

Works: (Sankrail Unit II): Sankrail, Bhagawatipur, LR Khatian No. 2840, Dag No. 65 to 72, Kanduah, Ramchandrapur, Howrah – 711313
Works: (Junglepur Unit): Junglepur, NH-6, Gate No. 3, P.O: Begri, P.S. Domjur, Gali No. 1, Jalan Industrial Complex, Natibpur, Howrah – 711411

remuneration of the Directors and Key Managerial Personnel shall be determined and reviewed from time to time.

RESPONSIBILITY OF BOARD / INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS

It shall be the duty of the Board, who shall be supported by the Management to organize the evaluation process and accordingly conclude the steps required to be taken. The evaluation process will be used constructively as a system to improve the directors' and committees' effectiveness, to maximize their strength and to tackle their shortcomings.

In conformity with the requirement of the Act, the performance evaluation of all the directors shallbe done by the entire Board of Directors, excluding the director being evaluated.

Independent Directors are duty bound to evaluate the performance of non - Independent Directors and Board as a whole. The independent directors of the Company shall hold at least one meeting in a year to review the performance of the non- Independent Directors, performanceof Managing Director of the Company and Board as a whole, taking into account the views of executive directors and non-executive directors.

EVALUATION FACTORS

The Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following parameters for the purpose of evaluating the performance of a particular director:

In respect of each of the evaluation factors, various aspects have been provided to assist with the evaluation process in respect of performance of Board itself, and of its committees and individual directors as such evaluation factors may vary in accordance with their respective functions and duties.

Evaluation of Independent Director shall be carried on by the entire Board in the same way as it is done for the Executive Directors of the Company except the Director getting evaluated.

Appraisal of each Director of the Company shall be based on the criteria as mentioned herein below.



Rating Scale

Performance	Rating
Satisfactory	1
Not Satisfactory	0

The Company has chosen to adopt the following Board Performance Evaluation Process:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of the entire Board by Independent Directors, are set out below:

S.No	Particulars	Ratings	Remarks
1.	a. Structure of the Board:		
	i. Competency of directors:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with a proper		
	mixof competencies to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	ii. Experience of directors:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with enough		
	experience to conduct its affairs effectively.		
	iii. Mix of qualifications:		
	Whether Board as a whole has directors with a proper		
- 1	mixof qualifications to conduct its affairs effectively.		- b=
. 1	iv. Diversity in Board under various parameters:		
-	Gender/background/ competence/experience, etc		I T -= -
- 1	Whether there is sufficient diversity in the Board on the		-
	aforesaid parameters.		n 11 • -
,	v. Appointment to the Board:		
	Whether the process of appointment to the Board of		
	directors is clear and transparent and includes provisions		
	to consider diversity of thought, experience, knowledge,		
	perspective and gender in the Board of directors.		D= =



b.	Meetings of the Board			
i. F	Regularity of meetings:			
Wh	nether meetings are being held on a regular basis	- 1		
ii. F	Frequency			
1. V	Whether the Board meets frequently	-		
2. \	Whether the frequency of such meetings is enough			
fort	the Board to undertake its duties properly	×		
iii.	Logistics			
Wh	nether the logistics for the meeting is being handled			
pro	perly- venue, format, timing, etc.	5 1		
iv.	Agenda	1		
1.	Whether the agenda is circulated well before the			
	meeting			
2.	Whether the agenda has all relevant information to			
	take decision on the matter			
3.	Whether the agenda is up to date, regularly reviewed			
	and involves major substantial decisions			
4.	Whether the quality of agenda and Board papers is up			
	to the mark (explains issues properly, not overly			
	lengthy, etc.)			
5.	Whether outstanding items of previous meetings are			
	followed-up and taken up in subsequent agendas			
6.	Whether the time allotted for the every item			
	(especially substantive items) in the agenda of the			
	meeting is sufficient for adequate discussions on the			
	subject			
7.	Whether the Board is able to finish discussion and			
	decision on all agenda items in the meetings			
8.	Whether adequate and timely inputs are taken from			
	the Board members prior to setting of the Agenda for		F	. *:
	the meeting		3	
9.	Whether the agenda includes adequate information on			
	Committee's activities			



v. Discussions and dissent:	
1. Whether the Board discusses every issue	Printer and comment
comprehensivelyand depending on the importance of the	
subject	- 1 1
2. Whether the environment of the meeting induces free-	Manager Electrical Control
flowing free flowing discussions, healthy debate and	THE COURT HISTORY
contribution by everyone without any fear or fervour	4 3 3204 2 4 3
3. Whether the discussions generally add value to the	Parties Statement from the Asset
decision making	relati beruptun Lauren in
4. Whether the Board tends towards groupthink and	nieroki presi krivati i
whether critical and dissenting suggestions are welcomed	control length and one
5. Whether all members actively participate in the	

6. Whether overall, the Board functions constructively as a

discussions

team

Kolkata & Landel Layel

vi. Recording of minutes:	
1. Whether the minutes are being recorded properly-	H. A.
clearly, completely, accurately and consistently.	ing in re, Tit.
2. Whether the minutes are approved properly in	
accordance with set procedures.	1000
3. Whether the minutes are timely circulated to all the	un ip i i -, i
Board members	
4. Whether dissenting views are recorded in the minutes	
vii. Dissemination of information:	
1. Whether all the information pertaining to the meeting	
are disseminated to the members timely, frequently,	squalification of
accurately,regularly	ISIE, III LA TIE I
2. Whether Board is adequately informed of material	. = - ;
matters in between meetings	
c. Functions of the Board	
AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
c. Functions of the Board	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board:	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation:	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues 2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues 2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate strategic risks	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues 2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate strategic risks 3. Whether the Board overall reviews and guides corporate	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues 2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate strategic risks 3. Whether the Board overall reviews and guides corporate strategy, major plans of action, risk policy, annual budgets	
c. Functions of the Board i. Role and responsibilities of the Board: Whether the same are clearly documented ii. Strategy and performance evaluation: 1. Whether significant time of the Board is being devoted to management of current and potential strategic issues 2. Whether various scenario planning is used to evaluate strategic risks 3. Whether the Board overall reviews and guides corporate strategy, major plans of action, risk policy, annual budgets and business plans, sets performance objectives,	



iii. Governance and compliance		
Whether adequate time of the Board is being devoted to		
analyse and examine governance and compliance issues		F
2. Whether the Board monitors the effectiveness of its		
governance practices and makes changes as needed		
3. Whether the Board ensures the integrity of the entity's		
accounting and financial reporting systems, including the		
independent audit, and that appropriate systems of control		
are in place, in particular, systems for risk management,		
financial and operational control, and compliance with the		
law and relevant standards.		l
4. Whether the Board oversees the process of disclosure		
and communications.		
5. Whether the Board evaluates and analyses the	a recrease	
compliance certificate from the auditors / practicing		
company secretaries regarding compliance of conditions	a (a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
of corporate governance.		-
iv. Evaluation of Risks:		
Whether Board undertakes a review of the high risk		
issues		



impacting the organization regularly		
2. In assessment of risks, whether it is ensured that, while		11 =
rightly encouraging positive thinking, these do not result in		
over-optimism that either leads to significant risks not		4 4 500
being recognised or exposes the entity to excessive risk.		
v. Grievance redressal for Investors:	The second second	+ 17 1
Whether the Board regularly reviews the grievance		
redressal mechanism of investors, details of grievances		Inc.
received, disposed of and those remaining unresolved.		
vi. Conflict of interest:		ple_ D L
1. Whether the Board monitors and manages potential		
conflicts of interest of management, members of the Board		
of directors and shareholders, including misuse of		
corporate assets and abuse in related party transactions		
2. Whether a sufficient number of non-executive members		
of the Board of directors capable of exercising independent		
judgement are assigned to tasks where there is a potential		Will E
for conflict of interest		
vii. Stakeholder value and responsibility:	bi-ure mi	
Whether the decision making process of the Board is		
adequate to assess creation of stakeholder value	-05	-
2. Whether the Board has mechanisms in place to		
communicate and engage with various stakeholders		
3. Whether the Board acts on a fully informed basis, in		
good faith, with due diligence and care, with high ethical		
standards and in the best interest of the entity and the		
stakeholders.		
Whether the Board treats shareholders and stakeholders		
fairly where decisions of the Board of directors may affect		
different shareholder/ stakeholder groups differently.		
5. Whether the Board regularly reviews the Business		£
Responsibility Reporting / related corporate social		
responsibility initiatives of the entity and contribution to		
responsibility initiatives of the entity and contribution to		



society, environment etc.	Charles by many
viii. Corporate culture and values: Whether the Board sets a corporate culture and the valuesby which executives throughout a group shall behave	
ix. Review of Board evaluation: Whether the Board monitors and reviews the Board evaluation framework.	
x. Facilitation of Independent Directors: Whether the Board facilitates the Independent Directors to perform their role effectively as a member of the Board of directors and also a member of a committee of Board of directors and any criticism by such directors is taken constructively.	Frank is alleter in a later in the second of



i. Evaluation of performance of the management	-
and feedback:	
1. Whether the Board evaluates and monitors	
management, especially the CEO regularly and fairly and	
provides constructive feedback and strategic guidance	
2. Whether the measures used are broad enough to	
monitorperformance of the management	
3. Whether the management's performance is	
benchmarked	
against industry peers	
4. Whether remuneration of the management is in line with	
its performance and with industry peers	
5. Whether remuneration of the Board and the	
management is aligned with the longer term interests of the	
entity and its shareholders.	
6. Whether the Board selects, compensates, monitors and,	•
when necessary, replaces key managerial personnel based	-
on such evaluation.	
7. Whether the Board 'steps back' to assist executive	
management by challenging the assumptions underlying	
strategy, strategic initiatives (such as acquisitions), risk	
appetite, exposures and the key areas of the entity's focus.	
ii. Independence of the management from the	
Board:	
Whether the level of independence of the management	
from the Board is adequate	
iii. Access of the management to the Board and	
Boardaccess to the management: Whether the Board	
and the	
management are able to actively access each other and	
exchange information	
iv. Secretarial support: Whether adequate secretarial	7
and	
	_

Kolkata F Jamelh hoyd

logistical support is available for conducting Board meetings		
v. Fund availability: Whether sufficient funds are made		
available to the Board for conducting its meeting		
effectively,		
seeking expert advice E.g. Legal, accounting, etc.		
vi. Succession plan: Whether an appropriate and		
adequatesuccession plan is in place and is being		
reviewed and	2 (42-110)	
overseen regularly by the Board		
e. Professional development		
i. Whether adequate induction and		
professionaldevelopment programmes are made		
available to new and		
old directors		
ii. Whether continuing directors training is provided to		
ensure that the members of Board of directors are kept	-	
up		
to date	-1	



COMMITTEES OF BOARD

The Board has constituted the following committees:

- 1. Audit Committee;
- 2. Nomination and Remuneration Committee; and
- 3. Stakeholders Relationship Committee
- 4. Corporate Social Responsibility Committee;
- 5. Internal Compliant Committee;

For evaluating the performance of each committee, the Board of Directors shall pay regards to the following aspects as set out below:

S.No	Particulars	Rating	Remarks
1.	Mandate and composition: Whether the mandate, composition and working procedures of committees of the Board of directors is clearly defined and disclosed.	age grant of	-
2.	Effectiveness of the Committee: Whether the Committee has fulfilled its functions as assigned by the Board and laws as may be applicable	0	
3.	Structure of the Committee and meetings: i. Whether the Committees have been structure properly and regular meetings are being held ii. In terms of discussions, agenda, etc. of the meetings, similar criteria may be laid down as specified above for the entire Board	I	
4.	Independence of the Committee from the Board: Whether adequate independence of the Committee is ensured from the Board	F	



Yamshih layd

5.	Contribution to decisions of the Board:	
	Whether the Committee's recommendations	aneronal land
	contribute effectively to decisions of the Board.	

KONKOLO & Landle bay &

INDIVIDUAL DIRECTORS (INCLUDING MANAGING DIRECTOR, INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, NON-INDEPENDENT DIRECTORS, ETC.)

Some of the specific issues and questions that should be considered in a performance evaluation of Independent Director, in which the concerned director being evaluated shall not be included, are set out below:

Name of Director being assessed:______

S. No.	Assessment Criteria	Rating	Remarks/ Comments		
1.	General				
	a. Fulfillment of functions: Whether the person understands and fulfillsthe functions to him/her as assigned by the Board and the law (E.g. Law imposes certain obligations on Independent Directors) b. Ability to function as a team: Whether the person is able to function as an effective team-member c. Initiative: Whether the person actively takes initiative with respect tovarious areas d. Availability and attendance: Whether the person is available for meetings of the Board and attends the meeting regularly and timely, without delay. e. Commitment: Whether the person is adequately committed to the Board and the entity f. Contribution: Whether the person contributed effectively to the entity and in the Board meetings g. Integrity: Whether the person demonstrates highest level of integrity (including conflict of interest disclosures, maintenance of confidentiality, etc.)				



Kamelle layal

	a. Independence: Whether person is independent from the entity and the other directors and there if no conflict of interest b. Independent views and judgement: Whether the person exerciseshis/ her own judgement and voices opinion freely	ice i		
3.	. Additional criteria for Managing Director			
	a. Effectiveness of leadership and ability to steer the meetings: Whether the Managing Director displays efficient leadership, is openminded, decisive, courteous, displays professionalism, able to coordinate the discussion, etc. and is overall able to steer the meeting effectively b. Impartiality: Whether the Managing Director is impartial in conducting discussions, seeking views and dealing with dissent, etc. c. Commitment: Whether the Managing Director is sufficiently			
	committed to the Board and its meetings. d. Ability to keep shareholders' interests in mind: Whether the			
	Managing Director is able to keep shareholders' interest in mind during discussions and decisions.			



REVIEW

The performance evaluation process will be reviewed annually by the "Nomination and Remuneration Committee".

Subject to the approval of Board of Directors, the Committee may amend the Policy, if required, to ascertain its appropriateness as per the needs of the Company.

DISCLOSURE

Company will disclose details of its Board Performance Evaluation processes in its Board's Report. The Board's report containing such statement shall indicate the manner in which formal evaluation has been made by the Board of its own performance and that of the committees of theBoard and individual directors of the Company.

Kolkata Harild lyd